

Agenda

Reigate & Banstead
Local Committee

**We welcome you to
Reigate and Banstead Local Committee**
Your Councillors, Your Community
and the Issues that Matter to You

Discussion

- Highways update
- Community Safety funding



Venue

Location: Reigate Town Hall,
Castlefield Road,
Reigate, Surrey RH2
0SH

Date: Tuesday, 5 June 2018

Time: 2.00 pm



SURREY

Get involved

You can get involved in the following ways

Ask a question

If there is something you wish know about how your council works or what it is doing in your area, you can ask the local committee a question about it. All local committees provide an opportunity to raise questions, informally, up to 30 minutes before the formal business of the meeting starts. If an answer cannot be given at the meeting, they will make arrangements for you to receive an answer either before or at the next formal meeting.

Write a question

You can also put your question to the local committee in writing. The committee officer must receive it a minimum of 4 working days in advance of the meeting.

When you arrive at the meeting let the committee officer (detailed below) know that you are there for the answer to your question. The committee chairman will decide exactly when your answer will be given and may invite you to ask a further question, if needed, at an appropriate time in the meeting.

Sign a petition

If you live, work or study in Surrey and have a local issue of concern, you can petition the local committee and ask it to consider taking action on your behalf. Petitions should have at least 30 signatures and should be submitted to the committee officer 2 weeks before the meeting. You will be asked if you wish to outline your key concerns to the committee and will be given 3 minutes to address the meeting. Your petition may either be discussed at the meeting or alternatively, at the following meeting.



Attending the Local Committee meeting

Your Partnership officer is here to help.

Email: sarah.smith@surreycc.gov.uk

Tel: 01372 371662 (text or phone)

Website: <http://www.surreycc.gov.uk/reigateandbanstead>



Follow [@ReigateLC](https://twitter.com/ReigateLC) on Twitter

This is a meeting in public.

Please contact **Sarah Smith, Partnership Committee Officer** using the above contact details:

- If you would like a copy of this agenda or the attached papers in another format, e.g. large print, Braille, or another language
- If you would like to attend and you have any **additional needs, e.g. access or hearing loop**
- If you would like to talk about something in today's meeting or have a local initiative or concern.



Surrey County Council Appointed Members

Mr Jeff Harris, Tadworth, Walton & Kingswood (Chairman)
Ms Barbara Thomson, Earlswood and Reigate South (Vice-Chairman)
Mrs Natalie Bramhall, Redhill West and Meadvale
Mr Jonathan Essex, Redhill East
Mr Bob Gardner, Merstham and Banstead South
Dr Zully Grant-Duff, Reigate
Mr Ken Gulati, Banstead, Woodmansterne and Chipstead
Mrs Kay Hammond, Horley West, Salfords and Sidlow
Mr Nick Harrison, Nork and Tattenhams
Mr Graham Knight, Horley East

Borough Council Appointed Members

Cllr Rod Ashford, Kingswood with Burgh Heath
Cllr Richard Biggs, Horley West
Cllr Michael Blacker, Reigate Central
Cllr Hal Brown, Earlswood and Whitebushes
Cllr Gareth Owen, Reigate Hill
Cllr Tony Schofield, Horley East
Cllr John Stephenson, Chipstead, Hooley and Woodmansterne
Cllr Mrs Rachel Turner, Tadworth and Walton
Cllr Jonathan White, Nork
Cllr Jonathan F White, Meadvale and St Johns

Chief Executive
Joanna Killian

MOBILE TECHNOLOGY AND FILMING – ACCEPTABLE USE

Those attending for the purpose of reporting on the meeting may use social media or mobile devices in silent mode to send electronic messages about the progress of the public parts of the meeting. **To support this, County Hall has wifi available for visitors – please ask at reception for details.**

Anyone is permitted to film, record or take photographs at council meetings. Please liaise with the council officer listed in the agenda prior to the start of the meeting so that those attending the meeting can be made aware of any filming taking place.

Use of mobile devices, including for the purpose of recording or filming a meeting, is subject to no interruptions, distractions or interference being caused to the PA or Induction Loop systems, or any general disturbance to proceedings. The Chairman may ask for mobile devices to be switched off in these circumstances.

It is requested that if you are not using your mobile device for any of the activities outlined above, it be switched off or placed in silent mode during the meeting to prevent interruptions and interference with PA and Induction Loop systems.

Thank you for your co-operation

Note: This meeting may be filmed for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's internet site - at the start of the meeting the Chairman will confirm if all or part of the meeting is being filmed. The images and sound recording may be used for training purposes within the Council.

Generally the public seating areas are not filmed. However by entering the meeting room and using the public seating area, you are consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for webcasting and/or training purposes.

*If you have any queries regarding this, please contact the representative of **Legal and Democratic Services** at the meeting.*

OPEN FORUM

Before the formal Committee session begins, the Chairman will invite questions from members of the public attending the meeting. Where possible questions will receive an answer at the meeting, or a written response will be provided subsequently.

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

To receive any apologies for absence.

2 CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

3 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

(Pages 1 - 8)

To approve the minutes of the previous meeting as a correct record.

4 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

All Members present are required to declare, at this point in the meeting or as soon as possible thereafter:

- (i) Any disclosable pecuniary interests and / or
- (ii) Other interests arising under the Code of Conduct in respect of any item(s) of business being considered at this meeting

NOTES:

- Members are reminded that they must not participate in any item where they have a disclosable pecuniary interest.
- As well as an interest of the Member, this includes any interest, of which the Member is aware, that relates to the Member's spouse or civil partner (or any person with whom the Member is living as a spouse or civil partner)
- Members with a significant personal interest may participate in the discussion and vote on that matter unless that interest could be reasonably regarded as prejudicial

5 PETITIONS

To receive any petitions in accordance with Standing Order 68. Notice should be given in writing or by email to the Community Partnership and Committee Officer at least 14 days before the meeting. Alternatively, the petition can be submitted on-line through Surrey County Council's e-petitions website as long as the minimum number of signatures (30) has been reached 14 days before the meeting.

6 FORMAL PUBLIC QUESTIONS

To answer any questions from residents or businesses within the Reigate and Banstead Borough area in accordance with Standing Order 69. Notice should be given in writing or by email to the Community Partnership and Committee Officer by 12 noon 4 working days before the meeting.

7 FORMAL MEMBER QUESTIONS

To receive any questions from Members under Standing Order 47. Notice should be given in writing to the Community Partnership and Committee Officer before 12 noon 4 working days before the meeting.

8 HIGHWAYS UPDATE REPORT [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR INFORMATION] (Pages 9 - 26)

To inform the Local Committee on the progress of the 2018/19 Integrated Transport and highways maintenance programmes in Reigate and Banstead.

9 COMMUNITY SAFETY FUNDING AND APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS TO TASK GROUPS AND OUTSIDE BODIES [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR DECISION] (Pages 27 - 36)

The local committee (Reigate and Banstead) has a delegated budget of £3,000 for community safety projects in 2018/19. This report sets out the process by which this funding should be allocated to the East Surrey Community Safety Partnership and/or other local community organisations that promote the safety and wellbeing of residents.

The report also seeks approval of the terms of reference of the Local Committee task groups and their membership as well as the appointment of representatives to external bodies.

10 RECOMMENDATIONS AND DECISIONS TRACKER [FOR INFORMATION] (Pages 37 - 44)

The Local Committee (Reigate and Banstead) is asked to note the progress of schemes and to agree that any items marked 'complete' are removed from the tracker.

This page is intentionally left blank

DRAFT

Minutes of the meeting of the
Reigate AND BANSTEAD LOCAL COMMITTEE
 held at 2.00 pm on 5 March 2018
 at Reigate Town Hall, Castlefield Road, Reigate, Surrey RH2 0SH.

Surrey County Council Members:

- * Mr Jeff Harris (Chairman)
- * Ms Barbara Thomson (Vice-Chairman)
- * Mrs Natalie Bramhall
- Mr Jonathan Essex
- * Mr Bob Gardner
- Dr Zully Grant-Duff
- Mr Ken Gulati
- * Mrs Kay Hammond
- Mr Nick Harrison
- * Mr Graham Knight

Borough / District Members:

- * Cllr Mrs Rosemary Absalom
- * Cllr Derek Allcard
- * Cllr Rod Ashford
- * Cllr Michael Blacker
- Cllr John Godden
- Cllr Frank Kelly
- * Cllr Steve McKenna
- * Cllr Tony Schofield
- * Cllr Mrs Rachel Turner
- * Cllr Jonathan White

* In attendance

1/18 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE [Item 1]

Apologies were received from Mrs Zully Grant-Duff, Mr Ken Gulati, Mr Nick Harrison and Mr Jonathan Essex.

2/18 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING [Item 2]

The minutes of the meeting held on 04 December 2017 were agreed to be a true record.

3/18 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 3]

No declarations of interest were received.

4/18 PETITIONS [Item 4]

ITEM 3

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager

The petition was presented by Mr Colin Sutherland MBE on behalf of the Park Road Residents Association (Banstead). Petition details and officer response are included in the supplementary agenda papers.

The divisional member (not present) submitted a letter in support, read out by the Chairman, stressing his support in particular for:

- additional signage to reinforce the speed limit and a refresh of existing signs (northern section)
- improved safety of pedestrians
- investigation of a lower speed limit on the southern section and feasibility of advisory signage to deter large vehicles (save for access)
- possible use of developer's contributions from local developments

The AHM confirmed that the location would be added to the speed assessment list on the forward plan.

The Local Committee (Reigate & Banstead) agreed to:

- (i) Note the officer's comment

5/18 FORMAL PUBLIC QUESTIONS [Item 5]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager

(For questions and responses – see supplementary papers)

Catherine Tully had submitted a written question and received the response in advance of the meeting as well as information on applying for the 'stopping up' of a road.

As supplementary she asked whether it would be possible to carry out some speed monitoring and to enforce the 'No Entry' sign.

The divisional member was not present but the AHM agreed to consult with him on adding the site to the list of potential speed surveys.

The Chairman agreed to discuss with the divisional member, writing to the borough commander to request enforcement action in that location.

Matthew Woods was present on behalf of the group Cycle Redhill and Reigate which had submitted a number of questions and had received

responses in advance of the meeting. (Questions and responses attached to minutes).

He thanked officers for the answers he had received to the questions submitted at short notice.

As a supplementary regarding the A25 Buckland Road gateway scheme he asked for clarification on the 'dedicated' cycle lane that had been referred to.

The AHM explained that the term 'dedicated' in this instance had been used to describe a lane that was just for the use of cyclists and not combined with pedestrians.

The divisional member for Redhill West and Meadvale stressed it was important to acknowledge the amount of work already done to facilitate cycling in the area, but the introduction of further schemes will be limited in part due the county council's difficult financial situation but also the need to balance the needs of other road users.

The divisional member undertook to clarify with Reigate Borough Council the terms of the Public Space Protection that has been implemented in part of Redhill town centre.

6/18 FORMAL MEMBER QUESTIONS [Item 6]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager

(Questions and responses in supplementary papers)

1. Ken Gulati had submitted a written question and had received a written response in advance of the meeting. He was not present to ask a supplementary.
2. Barbara Thomson had submitted a written question and received a written response in advance of the meeting. As a supplementary she asked why, if the whole purpose of the bid to Transport for London was to make safety improvements, this junction (identified as one of the top most dangerous junctions by them) was not treated as a priority?

The AHM explained that it had not been possible to include a proposal to re-design the junction within the funding envelope on offer and it would require the acquisition of a substantial amount of common land. Officers had made some suggestions as to changes in traffic signal timings and modelling of these would be carried out by the end of March 2018.

Officers acknowledged that there had been a lack of communication with local members who had been involved in discussions on this issue some months ago and the AHM agreed to follow up on this and would come back with a response through the local committee.

The junction would remain a priority for when funding might become available.

ITEM 3

7/18 RECOMMENDATIONS AND DECISIONS TRACKER [FOR INFORMATION] [Item 7]

The Local Committee (Reigate and Banstead) agreed to note the progress on schemes and to remove from the tracker, those items designated as complete.

8/18 UPDATE FROM CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAYS [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR INFORMATION] [Item 8]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

Member discussion – key highlights

1. The Chairman asked the Cabinet Member for Highways to pass on the Committee's thanks to officers on the way they handled operations during the recent spell of extreme winter weather.
2. Improved communications during this period had been vital and the Cabinet Member had disseminated information through local members and used social media for key messages.
3. Following his attendance at the meeting in September, the Cabinet Member wanted to provide members with an update on how money was being spent locally.
4. The main report included revised information on defects on the highway, which should be clearer and easier to understand.
5. A revised version of Annex 1 is attached and includes minor amendments to some signal schemes.
6. Information in Annex 1 has been improved to give more detail when schemes have been deferred.
7. Members were invited to advise the AHM by email of any schemes they wanted to query in the 2018/19 programme.
8. The AHM will compile a list of questions and responses which will be made available to the whole committee.
9. This new process still needs to be refined and it is important to start conversations about schemes planned for 2019/20 (Annex 3). The recent spell of bad weather may have changed the priority on roads that have been seriously affected.
10. The list of schemes for 2018/19 will be published on the county council website and reviewed every three months, with reasons given for any deferment.
11. The criteria for spending the new Member Local Highways Fund had not yet been finalised but this new money would enable councillors to react to local issues.
12. To help with this members would be provided with a menu of prices so that they would know the approximate cost of a job.
13. In addition the Cabinet Member would be challenging the council's contractor to deliver some basic schemes within three months.
14. AHM will clarify the meaning of QBC on page 67 of Annex 3.

15. Members highlighted that there were problems with communications on highways matters, particularly with regard to the timeliness and accuracy of messages.
16. The Cabinet Member agreed that communication was key and that messages needed to be clearer and less technical in content. This aspect had improved but further progress was required.
17. He also agreed that more needed to be done around managing contracts that had not been fulfilled. He would continue to challenge on such occasions and would push officers to do the same.
18. Members highlighted the problems regarding the new speed cameras on the A217 where two are already missing. AHM to follow up.
19. In her absence the divisional member for Reigate had submitted a number of written questions/queries on this item, which the AHM will respond to outside of the meeting.

The Local Committee (Reigate and Banstead) agreed to:

- (i) Comment on the information

**9/18 HIGHWAYS FORWARD PROGRAMME REVENUE BUDGET 2018/19
[EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR DECISION] [Item 9]**

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

Member discussion – key highlights

1. Members welcomed the increase in revenue funding for 2018/19.
2. This money cannot be used for LSR, which is a centrally funded capital programme.
3. It was proposed to increase funding of the community gang so that it would operate for 52 weeks instead of one in four; rural areas in particular would benefit.
4. Members were generally unhappy as to the formula for calculating the extra revenue funding and were of the view that Reigate and Banstead should receive a larger share.
5. Previously road length and the population statistics from 2011 had been used to calculate the proportion of funding to be allocated; instead it is being divided equally between the eleven districts and boroughs.
6. Factoring in the additional money from the new Member Local Highways fund the local committee would have approximately the same amount available to it, as it would have had if the previous formula had been applied.
7. Members did not support this view as they felt that the extra allowances did not fully make up the difference.
8. The Area Highways Manager noted the comments and agreed to feed them back.

The Local Committee (Reigate & Banstead) resolved to:

- (i) Approve the revised allocation of the Local Committee's devolved revenue maintenance budget as set out in para. 2.2 of this report;
- (ii) Note the Members Local Highways Fund as detailed in para. 2.7 and 2.8 of this report; and
- (iii) Agree that the revenue maintenance budget and the Members Local Highways Fund be managed by the Reigate and Banstead Maintenance Engineer on members' behalf

Reasons for Recommendations:

To agree the allocation of the Reigate and Banstead Local Committee's devolved revenue maintenance budget and how works are going to be managed on members' behalf.

10/18 HIGHWAYS SCHEMES 2017/18 - END OF YEAR UPDATE [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR INFORMATION] [Item 10]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

The Local Committee (Reigate and Banstead) agreed to note the contents of the report.

11/18 LOCAL TRANSPORT STRATEGY AND FORWARD PROGRAMME UPDATE [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR DECISION} [Item 11]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Zena Curry, Area Highways Manager
Tamsin Ward, Transport Planner

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

Member discussion: key highlights

1. Members agreed that there was a need to think more strategically about the road network in light of the amount of development in the borough.
2. The AHM explained that there was much collaborative work going on behind the scenes not only with Highways England but also with neighbouring councils, where their plans impacted on the Borough's local road network.
3. Some members raised concerns about those schemes looking to combine footways with cycle lanes as they believed they posed a risk to pedestrians.

4. Members were invited to put forward any schemes they would like to see added to the strategy/forward programme.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Local Committee (Reigate & Banstead) agreed:

- (i) To endorse holding a 6 week online public consultation on the updated draft Local Transport Strategy and Forward Programme.
- (ii) To delegate authority to the Area Highways Manager, Local Committee Chairman, Vice-chairman and Lead Officer (Transport Policy), to finalise the Strategy and Forward Programme following public consultation and recommend its approval to Cabinet.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

Delivering an updated Reigate and Banstead Local Transport Strategy will support the County Council's priorities to promote sustainable economic growth and secure investment in infrastructure. It also supports the Borough Council's objectives to provide effective services, infrastructure and transport options. The Reigate and Banstead Local Transport Strategy will benefit Surrey residents and businesses through accommodating sustainable population growth, driving the economy and reducing impacts on the environment.

12/18 EARLY HELP PRIORITIES FOR REIGATE & BANSTEAD [EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR DECISION] [Item 12]

Declarations of Interest: None

Officers attending: Matt Raleigh, Service Manager, Surrey Family Services

Petitions, Public Questions, Statements: None

Member discussion – key highlights

1. The Vice-Chair would support a fifth partnership being established in the Woodhatch area.
2. The Service Manager invited members to contact him outside of the meeting to give their feedback on the information provided in the report.
3. In her capacity as Chairman of the Early Help Advisory Board the member for Horley West, Salfords and Sidlow stressed the importance of partnership working particularly in light of the county council's difficult financial position.
4. The Chairman also acknowledged the enthusiasm of partners to work together to take the service forward. Some key organisations were not currently represented on the EHAB and this would have to be investigated.

The Local Committee (Reigate & Banstead) agreed to:

- (i) Provide feedback on the latest early help developments in Reigate and Banstead, including proposed early help priorities for re-commissioning and the location of Local Family Partnerships
- (ii) Endorse the Local Committee representatives to the local Early Help Advisory Board, for the remainder of 2017/18 and 2018/19

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

We want Local Members to be informed about the proposals that we have been developing in partnership for the early help system in Surrey. We believe these proposals will help us realise better outcomes for children and young people within the early help resources we have available. We also know however that early help is most effective when it is planned and delivered locally, so we are seeking the advice of the Local Committee to inform our identified local priorities.

Meeting ended at: 3.31 pm

Chairman

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL**LOCAL COMMITTEE (REIGATE AND BANSTEAD)**

DATE: 5TH JUNE 2018
LEAD OFFICER: ZENA CURRY, AREA HIGHWAY MANAGER

SUBJECT: HIGHWAYS SCHEMES UPDATE REPORT

AREA(S) AFFECTED: ALL

SUMMARY OF ISSUE:

To inform the Local Committee on the progress of the 2018/19 Integrated Transport and highways maintenance programmes in Reigate and Banstead.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Local Committee (Reigate & Banstead) is asked to note the contents of this report.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

Programmes of work have been agreed in consultation with the Committee, and the Committee is asked to note the progress of the Integrated Transport Scheme programme and revenue maintenance expenditure. As well as the work that is being carried out on the Greater Redhill STP, and the large scale, centrally funded maintenance schemes.

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND:

- 1.1 In December 2017, Reigate and Banstead Local Committee agreed a programme of capital Integrated Transport Schemes (ITS) and revenue maintenance expenditure for 2018/19, in Reigate and Banstead to be funded from the Local Committee's devolved budget.
- 1.2 In addition to the Local Committee's devolved budget, countywide budgets have been used over the past year to fund major maintenance (Operation Horizon), drainage works and other capital highway schemes. Countywide revenue budgets are used to carry out both reactive and routine planned maintenance works.
- 1.3 Developer contributions are also used in Reigate and Banstead to fund, either wholly or in part, highway improvement schemes to mitigate the impact of developments on the highway network.

2. ANALYSIS:

2.1 Local Committee finance

The Reigate and Banstead Local Committee had delegated highway budgets for the current Financial Year 2018-19 as follows:

- Capital: £36,000
- Revenue: £168,182
- **Total: £204,182**

In addition to the delegated highway budgets above, highway officers are continuing to look for other sources of funding for schemes. As a result Surrey County Council's Safety Engineering Team secured funding to construct an enhanced gateway scheme on the A25 Buckland Road, Reigate. The Local Area Team also secured developer funding for the construction of the A217 Brighton Road/Burgh Wood pedestrian crossing.

The budgets delegated to Local Committee outlined above were also in addition to budgets allocated at County level to cover various major highway maintenance and improvement schemes, including footway/carriageway resurfacing, the maintenance of highway structures including bridges and culverts and major drainage schemes.

2.2 Local Committee capital works programme

Progress on the approved Local Committee funded capital programme of highway works in Reigate and Banstead is set out in **Annex 1**. It also provides an update on schemes being progressed using developer contributions, and the Parking Review.

2.3 Local Committee revenue works programme

Under the "Highways Forward Programme 2018/19 – 2019/20" report presented to the Local Committee on 4 December 2017, the Local Committee agreed that the Area Highway Manager, in consultation with the Local Committee Chairman and Vice-Chairman, be able to vire the revenue maintenance budget between the revenue maintenance headings shown in **Table 1**.

Table 1 shows the agreed revenue maintenance allocation for 2018/19.

Item	Allocation
Parking	£5,000
Signs and road markings	£1,500
Speed Limit Assessments	£1,000
Minor Maintenance Works	£60,682
Revenue Maintenance Gang	£100,000
TOTAL	£168,182

2.4 Parking

An update on the parking review is provided in **Annex 1**.

Other highway related matters

2.5 Customer services

The total number of enquiries received in the first quarter of 2018, is 45,357, an average of 15,119 per month, this is a significant increase from the same period in the past 3 years.

For Reigate and Banstead specifically, 6,048 enquiries were received between January and March 2018 of which 2,419 were directed to the local area office for action, of this 93% have been resolved. This is slightly above the Highways countywide average of 92%.

In January to March 2018, 85 stage 1 complaints were received by Highways. For Reigate and Banstead specifically there were 7 stage 1 complaints, none of which were escalated to stage 2 of the complaints process.

2.6 Winter recovery program

The leader has announced, and this has been approved by Cabinet, that SCC is investing an additional £5m in highways to combat the effects of the winter weather on the highway condition. This work will be carried out on the B & C class roads. Members have had the opportunity to provide local priorities to assist in developing the program.

ITEM 8

2.7 Major schemes

Greater Redhill STP

Delivery of remaining schemes within the Greater Redhill STP continues. Slight delays to a number of items within the delivery programme has meant that final project completion is now expected by the end of Q2 this year. Local contribution funded schemes from the Horley Master Plan will be completed by the end of 2018/19.

Since the last update provided to March Local Committee, recent progress of the package of sustainable transport improvements include completion of sections 7a & 7b (Three Arch Road junction to Salfords Bridge) of the shared cycle/pedestrian footway along the A23. Remaining sections 8a & 8b (Salfords Bridge to Cross Oak Lane) are now mostly complete with only minor works outstanding. This extends the new cycle route from between the A23 Three Arch Road junction and Cross Oak Lane junction. Construction of the 5 raised tables at the side road junctions with Wimborne Avenue, West Avenue, Copsleigh Avenue, Honeycrock Lane, and Westmead Drive are complete and will provide a level surface for pedestrians and cyclists using the new route to cross safely at these junctions. Additional improvements to the junction layout at Honeycrock Lane are almost complete. An independent road safety audit of the A23 scheme will be undertaken shortly, with scheme contractors completing any necessary remedial works beyond this.

A23 Three Arch Road Junction: Design work has only recently been completed to provide options for increased capacity and sustainable transport improvements to this key junction. Estimated scheme costs are included for each option. Early design outputs were presented to the Member Task Group. Officers representing the County and Borough Council are currently reviewing the scheme options before taking this back to the Member Task Group to recommend a preferred scheme option. The scheme will then be brought to the local committee for approval to proceed. A number of potential funding options are currently being considered for the detailed design and construction phase of this scheme. On current timescales the detailed design phase is expected to commence during 2018/19 with construction to follow, subject to available funding.

The programme of Quality Bus Corridor improvements is nearing completion along the routes served by local bus services 430/435 and 420/460. Since the last update provided in March, additional new bus shelters have been installed with Real Time Passenger Information (RTPI) displays included at key stops. This includes live bus information now displayed at Earlswood Station, and a similar RTPI display will soon be available for connecting bus passengers travelling through Salfords Rail station. Our contractors are in the process of completing all remaining electrical connections where required for power supply to the bus shelters and/or RTPI displays. Final works to implement the enforceable bus stop clearways is underway. Clearways help to prevent parked vehicles at bus stops blocking access for buses. Alongside the new bus stop infrastructure and improved layouts being introduced along the quality corridors this allows better accessibility for passengers boarding and alighting at the stops, and serves to improve bus schedule reliability.

A further improvement scheme for the National Cycle Route 21 (NCR21) is being progressed for delivery this summer. A diversion to the existing NCR21

routing is planned to connect the Whitebushes area to Salfords Primary School on Copsleigh Avenue via the new railway footbridge at Salfords station. This will provide a direct route with a new off road sealed surface footpath for the residents of Whitebushes, instead of having to go around onto the A23.

Further information on the Redhill STP can be found on the Surrey CC Major Schemes web page www.surreycc.gov.uk/redhillstp

Horley Master Plan

Horley Master Plan forms the local contribution funded schemes for the core Greater Redhill STP project. The Horley schemes expected for delivery during the current financial year include the public realm improvements being designed for Horley town centre.

The Fastway 20 extension around the Acres is working well and proving to be very popular with nearly 200 households taking advantage of a free monthly bus pass. The waiting restrictions on Brookfield Drive and Orchard Drive will be implemented once these roads are adopted by the highways authority.

Princess Precinct - The detailed design work for works to the pedestrian precinct is progressing, and it is anticipated that construction works will begin later in the year.

2.8 Centrally funded maintenance

The Operation Horizon Team programmes of major maintenance works for 2018-19 for the Reigate and Banstead area are now published on Surrey County Council's website here:

<https://www.surreycc.gov.uk/roads-and-transport/roadworks-and-maintenance/horizon-highway-maintenance-investment-programme>

2.9 Road safety

The Road Safety Working Group meets every 6 months to review personal injury collision data provided by Surrey Police. The Road Safety Working Group is attended by Surrey County Council Road Safety Engineers, Surrey County Council Highway Engineers and Surrey Police. An update on road safety schemes that have been identified by the Road Safety Working Group is provided in **Annex 1**.

2.10 Passenger Transport

A report, outlining a number of bus stop improvements to be carried out as part of the Greater Redhill STP major scheme, was presented to the Local Committee in December 2017 and an update on the progress of this scheme is set out in section 2.8 above.

ITEM 8

2.11 A217 Network Resilience Project

The scheme was a package of resilience improvements funded by the Coast to Capital Local Enterprise Partnership to improve the ability of the A217 in Surrey, to cope with extreme and unpredictable weather events on this key route providing access between London and Gatwick.

The scheme was split into three sections and activities took place from October 2016 to March 2018:

Belmont Roundabout 1.4km– Vegetation clearance, drainage improvements - installation of 3 soakaways, 2 catchpits and 14 gullies on the Southbound carriageway. 1 new soakaway between the B2230 and Belmont Rise and the supergully on the roundabout itself. Installation of 2 soakaways, 1 catchpit and 7 gullies on the Northbound carriageway. - and resurfacing using resilient surfacing materials (a combination of Hot Rolled Asphalt and Hitex).

Junction 8 M25 to roundabout at Babylon Lane 1km – Vegetation clearance, drainage investigation/repairs and resurfacing using resilient surfacing materials (a combination of Hot Rolled Asphalt and Hitex).

South of Reigate to Horley 6.4km – Drainage investigation/repairs.

2.12 Other key information, strategy and policy development

Highways England are developing changes to junction 8 of the M25.

LED street light conversion

The County Council's Street Lighting engineers are currently investigating the potential of converting all of the current streetlights to LEDs. A detailed report will be taken to the County Council's Cabinet in the autumn for a final decision.

3. OPTIONS:

- 3.1 No options to consider at this stage. Officers will revert to the Chairman, Vice Chairman and Divisional Member or indeed the Committee as appropriate, whenever preferred options need to be identified.

4. CONSULTATIONS:

- 4.1 Not applicable at this stage. Officers will consult the Chairman, Vice Chairman and Divisional members as appropriate in the delivery of work programmes.

5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

- 5.1 The financial implications of the Local Committee's delegated budget is detailed in sections 2.1 – 2.3 of this report.

The key objective with regard to the 2018/19 budgets will be managed to a neutral position.

6. WIDER IMPLICATIONS:

- 6.1 The Integrated Transport Scheme programme and the revenue maintenance programme does not significantly impact on any of the areas identified on the table below. The Integrated Transport Schemes and maintenance work is carried out in order to improve the road network for all users.

Area assessed:	Direct Implications:
Crime and Disorder	No significant implications
Equality and Diversity	No significant implications
Localism (including community involvement and impact)	No significant implications
Sustainability (including Climate Change and Carbon Emissions)	No significant implications
Corporate Parenting/Looked After Children	No significant implications
Safeguarding responsibilities for vulnerable children and adults	No significant implications
Public Health	No significant implications

7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 7.1 Progress on the programme of capital highway works in Reigate & Banstead is set out in Annex 1. Local Committee is asked to note the contents of this report.

8. WHAT HAPPENS NEXT:

- 8.1 Delivery of the highway works programme will continue and a further update report will be presented to the next meeting of the Local Committee.

Contact Officer:

Anne-Marie Hannam, Senior Traffic Engineer, South East Area Team, 03456 009 009.

Consulted:

Not applicable.

Annexes:

Annex 1 – Summary of progress

Background papers:

- Report to Reigate and Banstead Local Committee, 5th December 2017, "Highways Forward Programme 2018/19 – 2019/20"

This page is intentionally left blank

CAPITAL ITS IMPROVEMENT SCHEMES		
Project: Slipshatch Road, Reigate		
Detail: Speed Limit Reduction	Division: Earlswood & Reigate South	Allocation: £5,000 (2018/19)
Progress: Speed limit reduction between the existing 30/derestricted terminals in Slipshatch Road and the Mole Valley/Reigate & Banstead boundary. Speed surveys have been carried out in 2017/18, and the survey results comply with Surrey's Policy for a signed only speed limit reduction from 60mph to 40mph. Work is progressing to carry out this speed limit reduction this financial year.		
Project: Hollymeoak Road/Portnalls Road - Chipstead		
Detail: Junction improvement	Division: Banstead, Woodmansterne & Chipstead	Allocation: £5,000 (2018/19)
Progress: A feasibility study is underway to assess measures that could be implemented to improve this junction.		
Project: Winkworth Road, Banstead		
Detail: Zebra crossing	Division: Banstead, Woodmansterne & Chipstead	Allocation: £10,000 (2018/19)
Progress: Halo beacons to be provided in place of the standard Belisha Beacons on this zebra crossing to improve the visibility of the crossing to motorists. Work is progressing on this scheme.		

CAPITAL ITS IMPROVEMENT SCHEMES		
Project: Accessibility improvements – dropped kerbs/tactile paving		
Detail: Provision of dropped kerbs/tactile paving	Division: All	Allocation: £5,000 (2018/19)
Progress: Locations to be identified from requests received.		
Project: Small safety and improvement schemes		
Detail: To be carried out as appropriate	Division: All	Allocation: £5,000 (2018/19)
Progress: Schemes to be identified during the year.		
Project: Victoria Road, Horley		
Detail: Pedestrian crossing	Division: Horley East	Allocation: £62,000 (2017/18)
Progress: The design work for a zebra crossing to be located on Victoria Road, between the junctions of Regents Mews and Elizabeth Court, in order to improve pedestrian access to the Health Centre is complete. Works to construct the zebra crossing have been completed.		

CAPITAL ITS IMPROVEMENT SCHEMES

Project: Accessibility improvements – dropped kerbs/tactile paving

Detail: Provision of dropped kerbs/tactile paving.

Division: All

Allocation: £10,000
(2017/18)

Progress:

Locations to be identified from requests received. Locations for dropped kerbs have been identified in High Beeches, Banstead, Allum Grove, Tadworth, Dome Way, Redhill, Rookery Way, Lower Kingswood and Tanyard Way, Horley from requests received from mobility scooter users. Works to construct these dropped kerbs has been completed.

Project: Small Safety and Improvement Schemes

Detail: As set out below

Division: All

Allocation: £11,000
(2017/18)

Walton Street, Walton-on-the-hill. – consultation with the church which raised concerns that funeral vehicles would not be able to stop outside the church if a bollard was installed in front of their access. For this reason only 1 bollard will be installed outside a property to the north of the school. This bollard has now been installed.

POTENTIAL DEVELOPER FUNDED SCHEMES

Project: A23 High Street, Merstham

Detail: Convert existing zebra to signal control

Division: Merstham and Banstead South

Progress:

Design completed, safety audit carried out. There is currently insufficient developer funding available to implement conversion of the zebra to signal control so proposal deferred until additional funding source has been identified, or alternative use of funding identified, following discussion with divisional Member.

POTENTIAL DEVELOPER FUNDED SCHEMES		
Project: Tadworth Street, Tadworth		
Detail: Localised road widening	Division: Tadworth, Walton and Kingswood	
<p>Progress: Localised road widening to provide additional traffic lane on approach to A217 Brighton Road roundabout. Utilities equipment identified as requiring diversion. There is currently insufficient developer funding available to meet the budget estimated scheme cost. This proposed scheme was included in a Strategic Bid for Community Infrastructure Levy funding for the A217 Brighton Road. This bid was unfortunately unsuccessful.</p>		
Project: A217 Brighton Road/A2022 Fir Tree Road/Bolters Lane, Banstead (Banstead Crossroads)		
Detail: Junction Improvement	Division: Banstead, Woodmansterne and Chipstead/Nork and Tattenhams	
<p>Progress: Priority being given to A217 Brighton Road/Burgh Wood pedestrian crossing scheme, scheme on hold. This proposed scheme was included in a Strategic Bid for Community Infrastructure Levy funding for the A217 Brighton Road. This bid was unfortunately unsuccessful.</p>		
Project: A240 Reigate Road/A2022 Fir Tree Road (Drift Bridge junction), Epsom Downs		
Detail: Junction Improvement	Division: Nork and Tattenhams	
<p>Progress: Signal timings optimised following review and revalidation of data sets. Further improvements to assist cyclists through the junction are being proposed for inclusion in the Epsom and Banstead STP bid to LEP. The bid has unfortunately not been successful at this time. However, the bid will be resubmitted should additional Growth Deal funding become available.</p>		

POTENTIAL DEVELOPER FUNDED SCHEMES

Project: Preston Regeneration

Detail: Various measures

Division: Nork and Tattenhams/Tadworth, Walton and Kingswood

Progress:

Regeneration of the Preston area, managed by the Borough Council, to include infrastructure and open space improvements addressing parking and traffic flow problems, supporting sustainable transport, and improving the quality of open spaces.

Chetwode Road

Measures to improve parking and street scene enhancements are in the design stage.

A240 Reigate Road footway/cycleway

A bid for developer funding through CIL to extend the footway/cycleway on the A240 Reigate Road between the signalised junction into Asda and Church Lane was successful. Work on this scheme is progressing.

POTENTIAL DEVELOPER FUNDED SCHEMES

Project: Epsom Lane North, Epsom Downs

Detail: Accident Remedial Scheme

Division: Nork and Tattenhams

Progress:

Site meeting held with divisional Member to investigate safety improvements at the southern end of Epsom Lane North at the bend by Kingswood Road. Minor improvements have been identified including, signs, lines and bollards. Work to install the signs and bollards has been completed. Installation of road studs in the double white line system has been completed and additional chevron signs have been installed.

Project: A217 Brighton Road/ Burgh Wood, Nork

Detail: Signalised Pedestrian Crossing

Division: Nork and Tattenhams

Progress:

Work to construct a signalised pedestrian crossing on the A217 Brighton Road just to the north of the junction with Burgh Wood began on 23 October and will take approximately 4 months to complete. Further information regarding the construction of the crossing, can be found using the following link;

[A217-signalised pedestrian crossing Banstead](#)

POTENTIAL DEVELOPER FUNDED SCHEMES

Project: Eastgate, Nork

Detail: Install one-way working and echelon parking | **Division:** Nork and Tattenhams

Progress:

Site meeting held with divisional Member and parking team to discuss possibility of installing echelon parking. There is no funding for feasibility design for this scheme currently identified.

Project: Chequers Lane, Walton on the Hill

Detail: Priority give-way | **Division:** Tadworth, Walton and Kingswood

Progress:

Investigation of previous proposal to install measures to slow traffic entering the village from the south. Divisional Member to be consulted on requirements for this location.

ROAD SAFETY TEAM SCHEMES

Project: A25 Buckland Road/West Street, Reigate (nr Flanchford Road)

Detail: Enhanced gateway feature incorporating speed limit terminals and traffic islands. | **Division:** Reigate

Progress:

Surrey County Council's Road Safety Engineering team has secured central funding for this scheme. Design work on this scheme is complete and the construction of this scheme has been completed.

ROAD SAFETY TEAM SCHEMES		
Project: A217 Brighton Road, Tadworth		
Detail: Installation of average speed cameras	Division: Merstham and Banstead South/Tadworth, Walton and Kingswood/Nork and Tattenhams/Banstead, Woodmansterne and Chipstead	
Progress: The work to install the average speed cameras on the A217 is partially completed with some links now live and available for enforcement by the police. The contractors will be returning, following the removal of roadworks by the water company, to commission more live links and to fully complete the installation. This will include the provision of two cameras at locations where the initial installation failed.		
Project: Woodhatch Road, Redhill (eastern end) – junction with A23		
Detail: Signs and road markings	Division: Earlswood & Reigate South/Horley West, Salfords and Sidlow	
Progress: Design work to improve give-way signs, advanced give-way signs and install new “SLOW” marking on the approach to the junction with the A23 is complete, work to construct this scheme has been completed.		
Project: A23 Brighton Road, Horley – near Southlands Ave		
Detail: Pedestrian Crossing	Division: Earlswood & Reigate South/Horley West, Salfords and Sidlow	
Progress: Design work is underway to construct a central refuge and install additional traffic signal heads on the existing signalised pedestrian crossing close to the Tesco Express store.		

PARKING

Progress:

The 2016/17 review is substantially complete, apart from lining snagging. The 2017/18 review that was reported to the local committee on 4 December, was advertised on 19 April, with a closing date for comments and objections of 17 May. Once all the feedback has been considered and the final decisions made about what should go ahead, the new parking restrictions will be installed.

Note: Information correct at time of writing (17/05/18)

This page is intentionally left blank

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL

LOCAL COMMITTEE (REIGATE & BANSTEAD)

DATE: 05 June 2018



SURREY

LEAD OFFICER: SARAH SMITH, PARTNERSHIP & COMMITTEE OFFICER

SUBJECT: LOCAL COMMITTEE COMMUNITY SAFETY FUNDING AND REPRESENTATION ON TASK GROUPS AND EXTERNAL BODIES

DIVISION: All

SUMMARY OF ISSUE:

The local committee (Reigate and Banstead) has a delegated budget of £3,000 for community safety projects in 2018/19. This report sets out the process by which this funding should be allocated to the Community Safety Partnership and/or other local community organisations that promote the safety and wellbeing of residents. The report also seeks the approval of Local Committee task group members and the appointment of representatives to external bodies.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Local Committee (Reigate & Banstead) is asked to agree that:

- (i) The committee's delegated community safety budget of £3,000 for 2018/19 be retained by the Community Partnership Team, on behalf of the Local/Joint Committee, and that the Community Safety Partnership and/or other local organisations be invited to submit proposals for funding that meet the criteria and principles set out at paragraph 2.4 of this report.
- (ii) Authority be delegated to the Community Safety Manager, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the local committee, to authorise the expenditure of the community safety budget in accordance with the criteria and principles stated at paragraph 2.4 of this report.
- (iii) The committee receives updates on the project(s) funded, the outcomes and the impact it has achieved.
- (iv) The committee approves the terms of reference and membership of the task groups and appointments to outside bodies, as detailed at paragraphs 2.8 and annex 1 of this report.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

The report sets out a process for allocating the committee's delegated community safety budget of £3,000 to local organisations. It also proposes local committee task group membership for the forthcoming year to enable the provision of informed advice and recommendations to the committee. The appointment of councillors of the Local Committee to external bodies enables the committee's representation on and input to such bodies

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND:

- 1.1 Prior to 2016, the local committee had historically chosen to passport its delegated community safety funding to the local Community Safety Partnership (CSP) to assist in their efforts to tackle crime and anti-social behaviour on behalf of residents.
- 1.2 Following countywide analysis of the projects that were funded through CSPs and the outcomes achieved, the local committee agreed that its local CSP should firstly be invited to provide an outline of any prospective projects that could be supported from the committee's funding for approval. This aimed to provide greater oversight of the committee's expenditure. In the context of the County's Medium Term Financial Plan and the requirement upon all county services to contribute to significant savings, the process would also help to achieve better value for money from projects in support of the County Council's wider community safety priorities.
- 1.3 Local committee task groups are established at the start of each municipal year. Membership of each task group is nominated and decided by councillors of the local committee. Representation on external bodies is similarly decided and is reviewed and agreed by local committee members annually. The proposed membership and terms of reference for the committee's task groups are contained in Annex 1 of this report. The committee is requested to make appointments to the external bodies and task groups, as detailed in paragraph 2.8 of this report.

2. ANALYSIS:

2.1 In 2017/18, the committee awarded:

- a) **Surrey Fire and Rescue:** £1600 for Safe Drive Stay Alive
- b) **Reigate and Banstead Borough Council:** £1400 towards purchase of mobile fly-tipping enforcement camera

Further details about the project(s) funded are contained in annex 2. A further update on the project's outcomes and achievements will be provided to the committee in December 2018.

- 2.2 As in the previous year, a clear and simple process designed to support CSPs will be adopted in order that funds can be processed efficiently this year.
- 2.3 Local CSPs will be invited to submit a brief outline of the projects that they would like to put the committee's funding towards, using a simple template designed for this purpose.
- 2.4 To assist CSPs in identifying suitable projects, the following criteria will be provided as a guide:
- (a) Results in residents feeling safer
 - (b) Has clear outcomes that align with the priorities of the Local Committee and/or the CSP
 - (c) Is non recurrent expenditure
 - (d) Does not fund routine CSP activities (e.g. salaries, training)
 - (e) Is not subsumed into generalised or non-descript funding pots
 - (f) Does not duplicate funding already provided (e.g. domestic abuse services, youth work, transport costs, literature which could be co-ordinated across all CSPs)
- 2.5 To ensure funds can be utilised within the current financial year, it is suggested that a deadline of 14 **September 2018** is imposed for the submission of outline projects by CSPs and/or local organisations. This deadline will be communicated widely to local CSPs and partner organisations.
- 2.6 To ensure that funds can be distributed speedily and efficiently, it is recommended that authority is delegated to the Community Safety Manager, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Local Committee, to authorise the expenditure of the committee's funds outside the formal quarterly committee meeting cycle. This should allow local organisations to obtain approval, initiate and implement projects with the minimum of delay.
- 2.7 Once implemented, the CSP and any other recipients of this funding will be required to provide the local committee with a short update on each project, outlining how the funding was used and the difference and impact it has made in the local community.
- 2.8 The local committee is also asked to appointment Member representatives to the following bodies and task groups, and to review and confirm the task group terms of reference set out in Annex 1:
- (i) East Surrey Community Safety Partnership (1 county councillor)
 - (ii) Parking Task Group (4 county and 3 borough councillors)
 - (iii) Greater Redhill Sustainable Transport Package Task Group (4 county and 3 borough councillors)

3. OPTIONS:

- 3.1 All viable options were considered and appraised when forming the recommendations to the Local committee. The previous arrangement, whereby the committee transferred both its funding and the decision-making about how the funding could be used to the CSP was not considered to

ITEM 9

provide sufficient information on the impact that the funding or the outcomes it had achieved.

- 3.2 The recommended funding arrangements will employ a simple process for the commitment of funds by the committee to enable greater scrutiny over the use of this funding.
- 3.3 The committee can confirm the task groups and the corresponding terms of reference as set out in the report. Alternatively, it can establish new task groups, or dispense with previous task groups. If a new task group is established, provisional terms of reference should be agreed.
- 3.4 The committee can either make the appointments to external bodies, as set out within the report, or amend these appointments.

4. CONSULTATIONS:

- 4.1 Local committee chairmen were collectively consulted about the process for allocating community safety funding, as recommended in this report.
- 4.2 Local committee member views are being sought on the nominations for representatives on external bodies and on the membership of local committee task groups.

5. FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS:

- 5.1 The costs of the recommendations in this report are contained within existing revenue budgets. Early scrutiny of proposed projects by CSPs and local organisations will help to achieve better value for money for the Committee's funding.

6. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS:

- 6.1 There are no direct equalities or diversity implications. However, through its membership of the local CSP and external bodies, the County Council can help to ensure that local services are accessible to harder to reach groups. The CSP also maintains ongoing monitoring of hate and domestic abuse crimes.

7. LOCALISM:

- 7.1 The proposals contained in this report will enable CSPs and/or other suitable local organisations to submit projects that support the County Council's strategic goal of enhancing resident experience. Membership of task groups and representation on external bodies allows local councillors to consider, recommend and influence policies and services in response to local residents' needs.

8. OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

Area assessed:	Direct Implications:
Crime and Disorder	Set out below
Sustainability (including Climate Change and Carbon Emissions)	No significant implications.
Corporate Parenting/Looked After Children	No significant implications.
Safeguarding responsibilities for vulnerable children and adults	No significant implications.
Public Health	No significant implications

8.1 Crime and Disorder implications

The county council's membership of local CSPs helps ensure the achievement of its community safety priorities. The committee's funding for local community safety projects enables the CSP and/or other local organisations to help to promote safety, reduce crime, and tackle antisocial behaviour and raise awareness of safer practices and behaviours.

9. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

9.1 The recommendations contained in this report are intended to secure greater oversight of the committee's community safety expenditure and achieve better value for money through projects that help to achieve the County's community safety priorities.

10. WHAT HAPPENS NEXT:

10.1 The East CSP will be advised of the funding process agreed by the Local Committee and invited to access this funding.

Contact Officer:

Sarah Smith, Partnership Committee Officer, Telephone 01372 371662

Consulted:

Surrey's local committee chairmen and local committee members.

Annexes:

Annex 1 – Task Groups and Membership of External Bodies

Annex 2 – Details of Funded Projects

Sources/background papers:

Not applicable.

TASK GROUPS AND MEMBERSHIP OF EXTERNAL BODIES

PARKING TASK GROUP TERMS OF REFERENCE

The Parking Task Group is a Task Group of the Reigate and Banstead Local Committee. The Terms of Reference and membership of the Task Group, which exists to advise the R&B Local Committee, are agreed annually by the Committee.

Role:

The Task Group will work with officers to advise the Reigate and Banstead Local Committee on any issues with regard to parking controls and civil parking enforcement.

Functions:

To consider:

- The operation of on street parking controls and all aspects of Civil Parking Enforcement across the Borough
- The effectiveness of any new restrictions introduced
- Use of any surplus income for decision at the Local Committee.
- The provision of residents parking in the Borough
- Consideration of parking capacity on and off street within the borough.
- To consider the parking impacts of the school expansion programme in Reigate and Banstead.

Membership:

The Task Group will include three county councillors and three borough councillors.

Operation of the Task Group:

- The Task Group will advise and make recommendations as appropriate to the Local Committee and borough council - it has no formal decision-making powers.
- The Task Group will meet in private and keep a record of its actions.
- Officers supporting the Task Group will give due consideration to the Task Group's reasoning and recommendations prior to the officer writing their report to the Local Committee.
- The Task Group can, should they so wish, respond to an officer report and submit their own report to the Local Committee.
- The Task Group will remain aware of the work streams of the other Task Groups and Sub-Committees to ensure appropriate linkages and manage overlap.

GREATER REDHILL SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT PACKAGE TASK GROUP TERMS OF REFERENCE

1. Objective

The Local Committee (Reigate and Banstead) is asked to consider that a Greater Redhill Sustainable Transport Package Task Group be established to advise the Local Committee on the progress of the Redhill Sustainable Transport Package during the year. It will achieve this through a process of monitoring and reviewing the current Redhill Sustainable Transport Package programme considering the proposals in greater detail to ensure they both match the objectives of the programme and are right for the Greater Redhill area.

The Greater Redhill Sustainable Transport Package Task Group is established jointly with Reigate and Banstead Borough Council.

2. Membership

The Task Group will consist of seven Members of the Local Committee; four County and three Borough Councillors, appointed by the Local Committee at its first meeting of the municipal year.

3. General

1. Each year the Local Committee will :
 - Determine the role and lifespan of the Task Group.
 - Review the operation of the Task Group over the previous year.
 - Agree criteria for consideration by the Task Group.
2. The Task Group will have no other formal decision making powers. Unless otherwise agreed, the Task Group will meet in private.
3. Officers supporting the Task Group will consult the Group and will give due consideration to the Group's recommendations prior to the officer writing their report to the parent Local Committee.
4. The Task Group may respond to an officer report and submit its own report to the Local Committee.
5. The Task Group terms of reference and membership is to be reviewed and agreed by the Local Committee annually.

SCC LOCAL COMMITTEE (REIGATE AND BANSTEAD)

DETAILS OF FUNDED ORGANISATIONS

Name of Organisation:	Surrey Fire and Rescue
Amount Awarded:	£1600
Project Aims & Purpose of Funding:	<p>Safe Drive Stay Alive</p> <p>Safe Drive, Stay Alive is an emotionally engaging and thought provoking theatre based education production, coordinated by Surrey Fire & Rescue Service, working with emergency services partners and members of the public, which aims to raise road safety awareness amongst young people and positively influence their attitudes to driving. Performances are designed to engage an audience of new and novice young drivers who are a high risk group on the UK's roads. Safe Drive Stay Alive aims to make young people aware of their responsibilities as road users and the wide ranging and potentially devastating consequences should these not be taken seriously. The ultimate aim is to reduce the number of road traffic collisions involving young people and the number of deaths and injuries amongst this at risk driver group.</p>
Outcomes to Date:	<p>This funding helped provide places for almost 1550 pupils and teachers from secondary schools across the borough to attend the production in 2017.</p> <p>Chartwood School – 7 places Dunottar School – 13 places East Surrey College – 900 places Reigate College – 163 places Reigate Grammar – 140 places Reigate Valley College – 20 places Royal A & A School – 71 places St Bede's School – 163 places The Beacon School – 64 places</p> <p>Total places: 1541</p>

Name of Organisation:	Reigate and Banstead Borough Council
Amount Awarded:	£1400
Project Aims & Purpose of Funding:	<p>Purchase of HD-VIPAUK Enviroguard Full HD Mobile CCTV Fly-tipping Enforcement System</p> <p>There has been a year-on-year increase in the instances of fly-tipping in the Borough over the past 2 years. To date the Joint Enforcement Team has had some success with fly-tipping enforcement using Brinno cameras. However, this new camera promises a higher frame rate with better evidential footage, improved identification of vehicle number plates & better night time use. This will help RBBC to carry out fly-tipping enforcement & reduce the amount of fly-tipping in the Borough, making it a pleasanter environment for residents.</p>
Outcomes to Date:	<p>The camera has been purchased and the JET team have spent a little time familiarising themselves with the equipment and have had some useful advice on deployment from Spelthorne Borough Council who are using the same camera very successfully. In light of GDPR RBBC felt it prudent to review its camera protocols so are just in the process of doing so. Once complete the plan is to deploy the camera as soon as possible at one of its 'bring sites' where there have been some issues of fly-tipping in the past. Although RBBC will obviously not be advertising the exact location in advance, it will look to do some communications around future successes.</p>

This page is intentionally left blank

Local Committee Decision Tracker

This tracker monitors progress against the decisions that the Local Committee has made. It is updated before each committee meeting. *(updates correct at 24/5/2018)*

- Decisions will be marked as 'open', where work to implement the decision is ongoing.
- When decisions are reported to the committee as **complete**, they will also be marked as 'closed'. The Committee will then be asked to agree to remove these items from the tracker.
- Decisions may also be 'closed' if further progress is not possible at this time, even though the action is not yet complete. An explanation will be included in the comment section. In this case, the action will stay on the tracker unless the Committee decides to remove it.

Meeting Date	Item	Decision	Status (Open / Closed)	Officer	Comment or Update
12/12/2016	12	Buckland Lane – agreed Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) and advertisement; delegated authority to Area Highway Manager in consultation with Chairman/Vice-Chairman to resolve objections	Open	Senior Traffic Engineer	Consultation for the TRO is complete and no formal objections have been received. The contractor has ordered the bollards and gates once the contractor has received these they will be installed.

<p>12/12/2016</p>	<p>13</p>	<p>Extension of Fastway Bus Route – agreed relevant TROs, advertisement and delegation to resolve objections</p>	<p>Open</p>	<p>Senior Transport Officer (Project Delivery)</p>	<p>The Fastway 20 continues to operate successfully through the Acres, Horley, with very few – if any - teething issues. Of the three outstanding matters reported to the last meeting, the footway resurfacing next to the bus only road (by the Community Centre) has been completed. In addition, RBBC has programmed to install the two new street name plates at the junction of Orchard Drive and Langshott by 7 June. Unfortunately the electrical connection to the two bus stops on Brookfield Drive remains outstanding. This electrical work has to be carried out by Barrett's electrical contractors because the power supply is still private until the Brookfield Drive is adopted as public highway. SCC Highways continue to press for the work to be carried out.</p> <p>The Legal Agreements that are required as part of the adoption process of Orchard Drive and Brookfield Drive (up to Lake Lane) are still with the respective solicitors and the outstanding remedial works are nearing completion. There also are still some outstanding technical issues that require resolution and as such,</p>
--------------------------	------------------	--	-------------	--	---

					the road system cannot be adopted until these matters are sorted.
18/09/2017	5	To install two additional bollards outside Walton on the Hill primary school, to prevent vehicles mounting the pavement.	Closed	Senior Traffic Engineer	Consultation with the United Reformed church took place, which raised concerns that funeral vehicles would not be able to stop outside the church if a bollard was installed in front of their access. For this reason only 1 bollard has been installed outside a property to the north of the school. Complete
18/09/2017	10	To introduce bus stop clearways at existing bus stops: Redhill – Reigate - Whitebushes: Bus routes 430/435 Redhill – Reigate: Bus routes 420/460 A23 corridor Chequers Roundabout to Redhill: Bus routes 100, 400, 420/460, 424, 430/435	Open	Senior Transport Officer	The majority of the bus stops included in this item now have clearway bus cage markings on the road, and yellow plates detail the clearways attached to the bus stops. The sites of the few that do not yet have the markings on the road will be included in the package of works which will also include those from Item 10 (04/12/17) below and once marked, the clearway plates will be attached to bus stop poles. It is anticipated that this work will be completed by end of July 2018.

18/09/2017	11	To submit a bid to the DfT Safer Roads Fund for highway Safety improvements on A217 Reigate – Horley.	Open	Road Safety Manager	The bid was submitted before the deadline of 30 September 2017 As yet no date has been given for a decision.
04/12/2017	8	To make a Map Modification Order in respect of footpaths on land off Rectory Lane, Woodmansterne.	Open	Countryside Access Officer	Orders were made on 21 March 2018 Advertised on 12 April 2018 Date by which objections have to be received is 24 May 2018 Notice and plans are on website: <u>Addition of Public Footpaths at Woodmansterne</u>

04/12/2017	9	To advertise Traffic Regulation Order of agreed changes to on street parking measures and resolve any objections before implementation.	Open	Parking Project Team Manager	The proposed new parking controls and restrictions for the 2017/18 parking review were formally advertised by way of a notice published in the Surrey Mirror on 19 April. At the same time we put up notices on lamp columns in the streets concerned, and, depending on the type and extent of the proposal, sent post cards to nearby addresses. The deadline for comments and objections was 17 May, after which we will analyse and collate all the feedback received and share it with the relevant members, prior to making the final decisions about which proposals should go ahead, and whether any should be changed or withdrawn.
------------	---	---	------	------------------------------	--

04/12/2017	10	To introduce additional clearways at existing bus stops. (Locations as set out in Annexes B and C of committee report).	Open	Senior Transport Officer	There have been delays in installing the yellow clearway plates on the bus stop poles where there are existing clearway bus cage markings on the road. It is anticipated that this work will be completed by end of June 2018. At bus stops where there are currently no bus cage markings on the road these will be included with those in Item 10 (18/09/17) and once marked the clearway plates will be attached to bus stop poles. It is anticipated that this work will be completed by end of July 2018.
04/12/2017	13	To introduce an enhanced gateway scheme on A25 Buckland Road, Reigate	Closed	Senior Traffic Engineer	Design work on this scheme is complete and construction work has been completed. Complete
04/12/2017	14	To modify Traffic Orders relating to loading bays and disabled parking in the Princess Precinct, Horley.	Open	Regeneration Project Manager	Officers have prepared a revised option for the loading bay and under delegated authority, the wording will be referred for approval to the Area Highway Manager in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Local Committee and local divisional Member.

05/03/2018	11	To endorse a public consultation on the updated draft Local Transport Strategy and Forward Programme.	Open	Lead Officer Transport Policy	Public consultation opened on 16 April and will close on 28 May 2018.
-------------------	-----------	---	------	-------------------------------	---

This page is intentionally left blank